CHERAW HIGH 124 Peachtree Drive Cheraw, South Carolina 29520 9-12 High School GRADES 855 Students ENROLLMENT Henry L. Cobb 843-921-1000 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT John E. Williams, PhD 843-623-2175 Jerry D. Holley 843-334-8420 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 9 14 6 3 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: **EXCELLENT** ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 14 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Good	Good	N/A
2003	Good	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Excellent	Excellent	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	66.8	N/A	N/A	71.6	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	16.1	N/A	N/A	14.6	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	17.1	N/A	N/A	13.9	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	96.4%	94.6%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	11.9	10.6
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	11.9	10.7
Seniors who met the grade point average	44.9	44.7

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	202	186		
Number of Diplomas	163	136		
Rate	80.7%	73.6%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Gra	Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	166	96.4	176	11.9	202	80.7	NO		
Gender									
Male	76	98.7	82	14.6	102	76.5	N/A		
Female	90	94.4	94	9.6	100	85.0	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	75	97.3	77	23.4	83	91.6	N/A		
African-American	87	95.4	97	2.1	116	73.3			
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	I/S	0	N/A	2	I/S	N/A		
Hispanic	1	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	147	97.3	146	13.7	167	88.0	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	19	89.5	30	3.3	35	45.7	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	166	96.4	176	11.9	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	166	96.4	176	11.9	202	80.7	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	75	93.3	87	2.3	103	68.9	N/A		

91

98.9

89

21.3

99

92.9

N/A

Full-pay meals

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY GR	JUP,	ш,ш			ш,			Ι,
	Enrollment 1st	ر ا ۾	% Below Basis	} /	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participati
	e l	" Tested	, \ 8	% Basic) j	, l	[j.j. j.	e E	Participati
			oon	/ 8	\{ \{ \(\text{\pi} \) \}	4 4	\varphi \var		
	\ <u>\alpha</u>	·/ ~~	/ %	/ `	/ %	/ %	18/9/	\ & &	/ 💆
						/	-		
Eng∥ All Students	sh/Langua 207	ge Arts - 1	21.5	27.2	25.6	25.6	58.5	YES	l YE
Gender	201	33.0	21.0	21.2	20.0	20.0	00.0	120	1.
Male	93	100.0	30.3	21.3	24.7	23.6	55.1	N/A	N/
Female	114	98.2	14.2	32.1	26.4	27.4	61.3	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group	117	30.2	17.2	02.1	20.4	21.4	01.0	14/71	14/
White	93	100.0	13.5	24.7	20.2	41.6	70.8	YES	YE
African-American	110	98.2	28.2	30.1	30.1	11.7	47.6	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	ı,
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	l,
Disability Status		14//1	14//1	14//1	14/73	14//1	14/73	1/5	
Not Disabled	168	98.8	12.2	26.9	29.5	31.4	69.2	N/A	N
Disabled	39	100.0	59.0	28.2	10.3	2.6	15.4	I/S	IN
Migrant Status		100.0	00.0	20.2		2.0	10.7	.,,,,	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N
Non-Migrant	207	99.0	21.5	27.2	25.6	25.6	58.5	N/A	N
English Proficiency	201	00.0	21.0	27.2	20.0	20.0	00.0	14/71	
imited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	207	99.0	21.5	27.2	25.6	25.6	58.5	N/A	N N
Socio-Economic Status	20.	00.0	20	27.12	20.0	20.0	00.0	14,7 (
Subsidized meals	114	98.2	30.3	33.9	24.8	11.0	42.2	YES	YE
-ull-pay meals	93	100.0	10.5	18.6	26.7	44.2	79.1	N/A	N
	Mathemati	•			•				
All Students	207	99.0	28.2	37.4	20.0	14.4	41.0	YES	YE
Gender	201	33.0	20.2	07.4	20.0	14.4	71.0	120	, ,
Male	93	100.0	31.5	32.6	22.5	13.5	43.8	N/A	N
emale	114	98.2	25.5	41.5	17.9	15.1	38.7	N/A	N
Racial/Ethnic Group		00.2	20.0	11.0	17.0	10.1	00.1	14/71	
Vhite	93	100.0	16.9	34.8	22.5	25.8	55.1	YES	YE
African-American	110	98.2	37.9	40.8	16.5	4.9	28.2	NO	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	i
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	i
Disability Status				1411			1411		
Not Disabled	168	98.8	17.3	40.4	24.4	17.9	49.4	N/A	N
Disabled	39	100.0	71.8	25.6	2.6	N/A	7.7	I/S	ı.
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N
Non-Migrant	207	99.0	28.2	37.4	20.0	14.4	41.0	N/A	N
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	207	99.0	28.2	37.4	20.0	14.4	41.0	N/A	N
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	114	98.2	40.4	41.3	14.7	3.7	23.9	NO	YE
Full-pay meals	93	100.0	12.8	32.6	26.7	27.9	62.8	N/A	N

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 855)			Like Ours	3011001
Retention rate	10.8%	Up from 5.1%	9.9%	9.1%
Attendance rate	95.0%	Down from 95.1%	95.9%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No change	3.8%	5.8%
With disabilities other than speech	20.0%	Up from 18.1%	14.3%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	7.1% 0.1%	Up from 5.2% Down from 0.5%	11.7% 1.6%	9.8% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	6.9% 73.1%	Down from 9.0%	10.3% 33.3%	10.2% 53.8%
Annual dropout rate	1.2%	Down from 3.6%	2.9%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	5.2%	Down from 10.0%	7.3%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	712	Up from 664	378	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	47.5%	Up from 44.5%	27.3%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	70.7%	Down from 74.0%	75.5%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	98.7%	Down from 99.5%	98.5%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 55)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.9%	Up from 45.8%	49.8%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	74.5%	Down from 76.3% N/A	82.1%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	90.5% 13.7%	N/A	90.7% 8.8%	89.5% 8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	92.4% 94.3%	Up from 90.1% Down from 96.2%	86.1% 94.7%	86.2% 95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$39,706 9.2 days	Up 0.8% Up from 8.5 days	\$40,640 10.4 days	\$41,060 10.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	26.4 to 1	Down from 26.7 to 1	26.4 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.1%	Down from 89.8%	89.1%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,369	Up 1.7%	\$6,347	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	68.4%	Up from 63.7%	58.3%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	63.2% Yes	Down from 69.2% No change	91.9% Yes	89.3% Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Below Average	N/A	Good	Good
i noi yoai auulteu iiriailolal uata ale reporteu.		Our District	St	ate
Highly avalified to achora in law payorty	00h00l0**	NI/A	00	Λ0/

Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools**

Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools**

N/A

92.0%

Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools**

State Objective

Highly qualified teachers in this school**

65.0%

Yes

Student attendance in this school

95.3%

No

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

There is much to be proud of at Cheraw High School. BSAP Exit Exam scores have remained steady this year, however, we continue to strive for improvement each year. We continue to be pleased with our achievement scores, but we realize that a much more rigorous test (HSAP) is now in place for students graduating after 2005. Much attention has been given to improvement in the areas of facilities and instruction. Our stakeholders have joined staff, students, and parents in making the commitment to improve our school's performance our number one goal.

The class of 2004, which included one student who scored a perfect 1600 on the SAT, was offered \$1.3 million in scholarships. One student was a National Merit Finalist. The State Department of Education named five students Palmetto Fellows. Seventy-eight seniors earned a 3.0 or better GPA on the South Carolina Uniform Grading Scale. Sixty students earned a "B" average and were eligible for a LIFE scholarship from the State Department of Education. Twenty-three seniors were National Honor Society graduates and fifteen were National Vocational Technical Honor Society graduates. The State Department of Education named five seniors Academic Honor Scholars. The SAT Team was Region Champions for the sixth straight year. There were fifteen students who qualified for the State Finals of National History Day. One hundred percent of the Health Science Technology students passed the State Certified Nursing Assistant Exam.

Cheraw High School was deemed to have the second ranked boys' athletic program of all classifications in the state. One student became a three-time state champion in wrestling. The wrestling team competed in the lower state championship. The boys' golf team finished fourth in the state in 2A. The boys' baseball team won the 2A State Championship. The boys' track team finished second in the state. The boys' basketball team competed for the lower state championship. One student is a two-time state champion in the pole vault. Three students were awarded athletic scholarships.

Yes, there is much to be proud of at Cheraw High School, but there is still much to be done to ensure that Cheraw High remains one of the best high schools in South Carolina.

Henry L. Cobb, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
Teachers Students* Pa								
Number of surveys returned	47	141	28					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	89.4%	73.8%	78.6%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	81.4%	71.4%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations 51.1% 82.0% 53.6%								
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included								